Case 2	2:08-cv-08570-ODW W Document 1	Filed 12/29/08 (Page 1 of 17 Page ID #:1
	` ´	FILED
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGT. ES BY ES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	JOSEPH GENOVA, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, a government entity; WALDEN HOUSE, a non-profit corporation; BEHAVIORAL SYSTEMS SOUTHWEST; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants.	CASE OF OR 570 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES: 1. DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION UNDER AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, TITLE II 2. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (Civil Code §§54 and 54.1] 3. VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
28	COMPLAINT FOR DAMA	AGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
		1

GCOP

7

10

15

13

28

Plaintiff JOSEPH GENOVA, an individual, herein complains, by filing this Civil Complaint, that Defendants have in the past, and presently are, engaging in discriminatory practices against individuals with disabilities. Therefore, Plaintiff makes the following allegations in this civil rights action:

NAMED DEFENDANTS AND NAMED PLAINTIFF

- Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA is a government entity. Defendant WALDEN 1. HOUSE (hereinafter referred to as "WH") is a non-profit corporation. Defendant BEHAVIORAL SYSTEMS SOUTHWEST (hereinafter "BSS") is a non-profit corporation. Defendants WH and BSS provide therapeutic counseling services to individuals with substance abuse problems.
- The word "Plaintiff" as used herein refers to JOSEPH GENOVA, an adult resident 2. of the County of Los Angeles. Plaintiff is an insured party of SecureHorizons, Inc.
- 3. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will pray leave of the Court and amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the Does when ascertained.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants and each of them herein were, at all times relevant to the action, the owner, lessor, lessee, franchiser, franchisee, manager, general partner, limited partner, agent, employee, representing partner, or joint venturer of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course and scope of that relationship. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants herein, including their managing agents and owners, gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the acts alleged herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The first cause of action alleged herein arises under the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 12182 et seq.) ("ADA") such that the jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. Through the same actions and omissions that form the basis of Plaintiff's federal claim, Defendants have also violated Plaintiffs' rights under state law, over which this Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 6. Venue over Plaintiffs' claims is proper in the Central District of California because Defendants reside in the Central District of California within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and because the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the Central District of California.

CONCISE SET OF FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff is a person with a disability as defined under both state and federal law.

 Plaintiff has a physical impairment which severely limits his ability to hear. Plaintiff requires a certified sign language interpreter to effectively communication with others.
- 8. Plaintiff has been referred on several occasions by Defendant STATE OF
 CALIFORNIA to residential and outpatient treatment at Defendant BSS and Defendant WH to
 address Plaintiff's substance abuse problem. However, Defendants have not provided, and
 continually refused to provide, a certified sign language interpreter for Plaintiff so that he can
 equally and meaningfully participate in Defendants' programs and services. Plaintiff has
 repeatedly informed Defendants that the absence of a certified sign language interpreter in group

meetings, individual counseling and other required communications results in his inability to comprehend virtually everything that is being communicated and deprives him of an ability to express himself. Nevertheless, on numerous occasions Plaintiff has been required, as part of the program, to sit through meetings, group sharing, counseling and other activities without a certified sign language interpreter present. On one occasion, after Plaintiff had been at BSS in class for 10 days, Plaintiff filed a request for reasonable accommodation with the State of California. Plaintiff did not document or follow-up on the Complaint pursuant to State law (Title 15). It took days to provide an interpreter and Plaintiff was required to make up five days

of classes. Plaintiff has been discouraged and even threatened with removal from the program by

Defendant WH if he continued to complain.

- 9. Plaintiff experienced emotional distress, including frustration, isolation and hopelessness because of the multiple failures of Defendants to adopt, implement, and enforce policies, practices and procedures that would have allowed for Plaintiff to participate, learn, receive counseling and communicate with others. The Defendants had (and have) statutory duties to reasonably accommodate individuals who have physical impairments limiting their ability to communicate. As more fully set forth in this Complaint, both the ADA and California law require Defendants to adopt reasonable policies and procedures that would allow for the Plaintiff to understand and communicate.
- 10. Pursuant to federal and state law, Defendants are required to avoid discriminating and retaliating against Plaintiff and other people with disabilities in connection with the services they offer to the public.
- 11. Based on these facts, Plaintiff alleges that Plaintiff was discriminated and retaliated against when Plaintiff attempted to utilize the services offered by Defendants. Plaintiff would

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

ke to be able to depend upon and utilize the services of Defendants, but Plaintiff is deterred
from doing so because of the Defendants' illegal actions and discriminatory policies and
rom doing so because of the Defendants in San San
behavior as set forth in this Complaint.

- 12. Plaintiff was and is irritated, frustrated and extremely upset due to Defendants' insensitive and illegal conduct, all to Plaintiff's general and special damages in an amount according to proof.
- The violations of the ADA and California law alleged herein relate to extreme and outrageous conduct on the part of Defendants; conduct that violates the rights and impacts the well being and safety of people with disabilities. The conduct of Defendants and other similar facilities and programs has been a vexing and long standing issue for people in the disability community. Despite this, Plaintiff was still forced to endure the illegal outrages detailed in this Complaint.
 - 14. The named Defendants and DOES 1 through 10 will be referred to collectively hereinafter as "Defendants."
 - 15. Plaintiff avers that Defendants are liable for the following claims as alleged below.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

(For Discriminatory Practices in Public Accommodations;

Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990)

- 16. Based on the facts plead above (which Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates herein by reference), Plaintiff was denied full and equal enjoyment of and access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations in violation of the ADA.
 - 17. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant State of California provides services, programs and

public accommodations entitling Plaintiff, and others with disabilities, to protections from discrimination under Title II [42 USC 12141, et seq.] and Title III. Public entities must "make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures when the modifications are necessary to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability, unless the public entity can demonstrate that making the modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of the service, program, or activity." Id. § 35.130(b)(7); see e.g. *McGary v. City of Portland*, 386 F.3d 1259, 1265-67 (9th Cir. 2004.) A public entity must "operate each service, program, and activity so that the service, program, or activity, when viewed in its entirety, is readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities." 28 C.F.R. § 35.150(a). As set forth in this Complaint, the manner in which this Defendant provides services, and the policies and practices under which it operates, have denied, and continue to deny, Plaintiff and others with disabilities full and equal access to Defendant's services, programs and benefits.

18. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants WH and BSS own, manage, lease and/or operate a public accommodation as defined in 42 U.S.C. §12181(7). One of the specific prohibitions against discrimination under the ADA is set forth in C.F.R.Section 36.303 which requires a public accommodation to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the public accommodation can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the goods, services, facilities, advantages, or accommodations being offered or would result in an undue burden. The manner in which these Defendants provided services failed to provide full and equal access to Defendants' goods and services in public accommodations as required generally by the provisions of 42 U.S.C. §12182(a)and 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(1)(A).

- 19. Plaintiff has physical impairments as alleged in Paragraph 7 above. Plaintiff's physical impairments substantially limit major life activities; including hearing and talking. Plaintiff cannot perform the above-noted major life activities in the manner, speed, duration, and/or volume when compared to the average person.
- 20. The ADA, Title III, 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A) (ii) prohibits: "(A) failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations; "
- 21. Based on the facts plead above, Defendants failed to make reasonable modifications in their policies, practices, or procedures, though such modifications were necessary to afford such goods, services, programs, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to Plaintiff.
- 22. Based on the facts plead above, and the facts set forth elsewhere in this Complaint, Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, will suffer irreparable harm unless Defendants are ordered to discontinue operations or obey the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' discriminatory conduct is capable of repetition, and this discriminatory repetition adversely impacts Plaintiff and a substantial segment of the disability community. Plaintiff alleges there is a substantial public interest in requiring Defendants to provide effective communication services, programs, and devices for people with disabilities. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress the discriminatory conduct of Defendants. As noted herein, Plaintiff desires to be able to utilize and depend upon Defendants' services, but is precluded or deterred from doing so because of the discriminatory policies and practices alleged herein.

6

1

8

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

access, as other members of the general public, to accommodations, advantages, facilities, ... places of public accommodation, amusement, or resort, and other places to which the general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons."

- 28. Section 54.1(d) of the California Civil Code §54.1(d) provides that a violation of the ADA also constitutes a violation of §54.1.
- 29. Defendants also violated Section 54.3 of the California Civil Code which provides that any entity that denies or interferes with the admittance to or enjoyment of the public facilities as specified in §54 or §54.1 thereof or who otherwise interferes with the rights of an individual with a disability under §§54 or 54.1 shall be liable for each such offense for the actual damages, and up to three times actual damages, but in no case less than \$1,000, and such attorneys' fees as may be determined by the Court.
- 30. California Civil Code §55 provides that a person who is aggrieved or potentially aggrieved by a violation of §54 or §54.1 thereof may bring an action to enjoin the violation in question.
- 31. The violations of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and California law that are alleged in this Complaint have resulted in the denial to Plaintiff of full and equal access to the goods and services offered by Defendants and have caused Plaintiff to suffer the damage and harms set forth and alleged in this Complaint.
- 32. Based on the facts plead above, and the facts elsewhere in this Complaint, Plaintiff will suffer irreparable harm unless Defendants are ordered to discontinue operations or modify their policies, practices and procedures. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' discriminatory conduct is capable of repetition, and this discriminatory repetition adversely impacts Plaintiff and a

substantial segment of the disability community. Plaintiff alleges that there is a substantial public interest in requiring Defendants to provide effective communication services, programs and devices for people with disabilities. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress the discriminatory conduct of Defendants. As noted herein, Plaintiff desires to be able to utilize and depend upon Defendants' services but is precluded or deterred from doing so because of the discriminatory policies and practices alleged herein. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges that a prohibitory or mandatory injunction, including an independent monitor, is necessary to enjoin compliance with California civil rights laws enacted for the benefit of individuals with disabilities.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

(For Violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code Section 51)

- 33. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates the paragraphs above of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. The programs and services operated and referred to by defendants are public accommodations as identified in California Civil Code §51 and are therefore subject to the state and federal laws prohibiting discrimination against individuals with disabilities in public accommodations.
- 35. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability and is therefore protected from discrimination in public accommodations under Civil Code §51.
- 36. Defendants' actions were done with defendants' full knowledge of plaintiff's disability, his need for a sign language interpreter, their duty to provide one under the law, and the consequences to plaintiff of their failure to provide one. Defendants deprivation of plaintiff's rights

was intentional, or in conscious disregard of the likelihood of causing injury to plaintiff. Defendants' policies and practices are in violation of California Civil Code §51. Civil Code §51(b) states:

"All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical condition are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

- 37. Plaintiff was irritated, frustrated and extremely upset due to Defendants' insensitive and illegal conduct. Plaintiff experienced loss of enjoyment, isolation, humiliation, embarrassment, frustration and others kinds of emotional distress all to plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof. Plaintiff is entitled to an award for these injuries pursuant to Civil Code § 52.
- 38. As a result of Defendants' illegal actions, Plaintiff is entitled to an additional award of up to three times the amount of actual damages, for each violation, but in no case less than \$4,000.00, pursuant to Civil Code §52.
- 39. In order to stop Defendants from discriminating against individuals with disabilities, Plaintiff requests that the Court enjoin these Defendants from further discriminatory conduct as described above, pursuant to Civil Code §52...
- 40. As a further result of Defendants' illegal actions, Plaintiff has incurred attorney fees and costs in pursuing this action. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to Civil Code §§52.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for damages and relief as hereinafter stated.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF

1	1.	For all allowable gener	al, special and	exemplary damages pursuant to the ADA, Titl			
2	II, and California Civil Code §§52 and 54.3;						
3	2. For \$4,000 in damages pursuant to the California Civil Code §52 claim for each and						
4 5	every violation of California Civil Code §51;						
6	3. In the alternative to the minimum statutory damages pursuant to California Civil						
7	Code §52 in Paragraph 2 above, for \$1,000 in damages pursuant to the California Civil Code						
8	§54.3 claim for each and every violation of California Civil Code §54.1;						
9	4.			S.C. §988, 42 U.S.C. §12205, California Civil			
10	Code §§52, 54.3 and 55 and California Health & Safety Code §19953;						
11	5. For such other further relief as the Court deems proper.						
12				• •			
13 14	DATED: 1	December <u>73</u> , 2008	DAV	'ID GEFFEN LAW FIRM			
15			Ву	A-SCALL			
16				David G. Geffen, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff			
17			•	JOSEPH GENOVA			
18			•				
19		•					
20							
21							
22 23							
23 24							
25							
26	.:						
27	-			·			
28							

Case 2:08 cv-08570-ODW-AJW Document 1 Filed 12/29/08 Page 13 of 17 Page ID #:13

DAVID G. GEFFEN ESQ. (SBN 129342) DAVID GEFFEN LAW FIRM 530 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401 TElephone: (310) 434-1111			
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC			
JOSEPH GENOVA, an individual,	CASE NUMBER		
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV08-08570 ODW A		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, a government entity; WALDEN HOUSE, a non-profit corporation; BEHAVIORAL SYSTEMS SOUTHWEST, a non-profit corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS		
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 20 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached 1 counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, DA 530 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 205, SANTA MONICA, judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer AVID G. GEFFEN, Esq. , whose address is CA. 90401 . If you fail to do so,		
	Clerk, U.S. District Court		
DEC 2 9 2008 Dated:	By: <u>Natalia honogria</u> Deputy Clerk		
<i>,</i>	(Seal of the Court)		
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed		
CV-01A (12/07) SUMM	IONS		

Case 2:08-cv-08570-ODW-AJW Document 1 Filed 12/29/08 Page 14 of 17 Page ID #:14

Case 2:08-cv-08570-ODW-AJW Document 1 Filed 12/29/08 Page 15 of 17 Page ID #:15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if JOSEPH GENOVA, an indiv			DEFENDANTS STATE OF CALIFORNIA; WALDEN HOUSE AND BEHAVIORAL SYSTEMS SOUTHWEST			
yourself, provide same.) DAVID G. GEFFEN, ESQ.,	ess and Telephone Number. If you a 530 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 20 01, T ELEPHONE: (310) 434-111	05,	Attomeys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	l l	SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PA	•	ises Only	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Citizen of This		FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated of Business in	PTF DEF or Principal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh of Parties in Item III)			of Business in	and Principal Place 5 5 5 Another State	
D/ ODICIN (Planta V)		Ciuzen or Subje	ct of a Foreign Country	3 □ 3 Foreign Natio	n □6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) Verify 1 Original						
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAIN CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P.	/		only if demanded in completion ONEY DEMANDED IN	•	CESS OF \$50,000	
VL CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite th DEFENDANTS FAILED TO	ne U.S. Civil Statute under which yo PROVIDE POLAINTIFF WITH A			Do not cite jurisdictiona	l statutes unless diversity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place a	n X in one box only.)					
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 138 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 130 PROPERIOR 13	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpractic 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability 369 Naturalization Application 461 Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigrati	PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Property Dama Product Liabili 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 441 Voting 442 Employment 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 American with Disabilities - Employment 446 American with Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	□ 530 General ge □ 535 Death Penalty ge □ 540 Mandamus/ ty Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Conditi FORFEITURE/ PENALTY □ 610 Agriculture □ 620 Other Food & Drug □ 625 Drug Related	Act PROPERTY RIGHTS Act Act Relations Relations Disclosure Act Disclosure Act Disclosure Act Disclosure Act Reporting & Disclosure Act Disclosure Act Reporting & Reporting &	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 2:08-cv-08570-ODW-AJW__Document 1 Filed 12/29/08 Page_16 of 17 Page ID #:16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes If yes, list case number(s):						
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? If yes, list case number(s):					
(Check all boxes that apply) ☐ A. A. ☐ B. C. ☐ C. H.	Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	_					
			other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. his box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
JOSEPH GENOVA - LOS ANG	ELES COUNTY					
			other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WA SYSTEMS SOUTHWEST - LOS						
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca			other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
ALL CAUSES OF ACTION AROSE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernare Note: In land condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ve	ntura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	an Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER):	1) (C) /	Date DECEMBER 10, 2008			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)						
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soci	cial Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Otis D	. Wright II and the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

2:CV08- 8570 ODW (AJWx)

Α	ll discovery related motions	shou	lld be noticed on the calendar	of the	e Magistrate Judge
filed,	a copy of this notice must be sen	ved or		== == Tendar	=======: nts (if a removal action is
[X]	sequent documents must be filed a Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	I.]	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.					